arXiv:1609.07704v1 [gr-qc] 25 Sep 2016

The Relation Between the Quasi-localized Energy Complexes and the Thermodynamic Potential for the Schwarzschild-de Sitter Black Hole

I-Ching Yang [1]

Department of Applied Science, National Taitung University,

Taitung 95002, Taiwan (R.O.C.)

ABSTRACT

The Schwarzschild-de Sitter (SdS) black hole solution, which has two event horizons, is considered to examine the relation between the quasi-localized energy complexes on $\mathcal{M}$ and the heat flows passing through its boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}$. Here $\mathcal{M}$ is the patch between cosmological event horizon and black hole event horizon of the SdS black hole solution. Conclusively, the relation, like the Legendre transformation, between the quasi-localized Einstein and Møller energy complex and the heat flows passing through the boundary is obeyed, and these two quasi-localized energy complexes could be corresponding to thermodynamic potentials.

PACS No.:04.60.Cf, 04.70.Dy.

Keywords: Hawking temperature, Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, the difference of energy between the Einstein and Møller prescription.

[1]E-mail:icyang@nttu.edu.tw

Recently, Yang et al. [1, 2, 3] have inferred that the formula about the quasi-localized Einstein and Møller energy complexes on $\mathcal{M}^*$ and the heat flows passing through the boundary of $\mathcal{M}^*$, like as

$$E_{\rm E}|_{\mathcal{M}^*} = E_{\rm M}|_{\mathcal{M}^*} - \sum_{\partial\mathcal{M}^*} \mathbf{TS}. \tag{1}$$

Here $\mathcal{M}^*$ is the patch between event horizon $\mathcal{H}_+$ located at $r = r_+$ and inner Cauchy horizon $\mathcal{H}_-$ located at $r = r_-$ for the spherically symmetric black hole with two separate horizons. Eq. (1) is similar to the Legendre transformation between Helmholtz free energy F and internal energy U or between Gibbs free energy G and enthalpy H, and therefore these quasi-localized pseudotensor energy complexes $E_{\rm E}|_{\mathcal{M}^*}$ and $E_{\rm M}|_{\mathcal{M}^*}$ could correspond to thermodynamic potentials. But, in previous studies, r_- is not the event horizon, in which has an acceptable definition for the temperature and entropy of black hole. In this article, I would like to consider the Schwarzschild-de Sitter (SdS) black hole solution, which has two separate event horizons, and to review the relation between the quasi-localized pseudotensor energy complexes on a patch between two event horizons and the heat flows passing through its boundary.

The SdS black hole solution [4], describing a spherically symmetric solution of the vaccum Einstein field equations in the presence of a positive cosmological constant Λ

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{2}$$

is given in the static form

$$ds^2 = f(r)dt^2 - f^{-1}(r)dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega \tag{3}$$

and its metric function was solved as

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{r^2}{b^2}, \tag{4}$$

where $b^2 = 3/\Lambda$. Here, we shall consider the metric function in a factorization form

$$f(r) = -\frac{1}{rb^2}(r - r_c)(r - r_b)(r - r_0). \tag{5}$$

While $3\sqrt{3}M/b < 1$, the metric function would has two distinct positive real roots r_c and r_b, and the smaller one r_b and the larger one r_c would be

regarded as the position of the black hole event horizon and the cosmological event horizon for observers moving on the world lines of constant r between r_b and r_c. Compared with Eq. (4), the relations of these three roots are given by

$$r_c + r_b + r_0 = 0, \tag{6a}$$

$$r_c r_b + r_c r_0 + r_b r_0 = -b^2, \tag{6b}$$

$$r_c r_b r_0 = -2Mb^2. \tag{6c}$$

Because of Eq. (6a), $r_0 = -(r_c + r_b)$, the metric function is taken to be

$$f(r) = -\frac{1}{rb^2}(r - r_c)(r - r_b)(r + r_c + r_b), \tag{7}$$

and Eq. (6b) and Eq. (6c) are also reorganized as

$$r_c^2 + r_c r_b + r_b^2 = b^2; \tag{8a}$$

$$r_c r_b (r_c + r_b) = 2Mb^2. \tag{8b}$$

Let $\mathcal{S}^2(r)$ be a 2-sphere of radius r. Thus we shall suggest that $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{S}^2(r) | r_c > r > r_b\}$ is the patch between cosmological event horizon $\mathcal{H}_C = \mathcal{S}^2(r_c)$ and black hole event horizon $\mathcal{H}_B = \mathcal{S}^2(r_b)$, and the boundary of $\mathcal{M}$ is $\partial\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}_C \cup \mathcal{H}_B$. The patch $\mathcal{M}$ is the region I of Penrose diagram for SdS black hole solution (shown as Fig. 1).



Figure 1: Penrose diagram for SdS black hole solution.

In the study of the thermodynamics of SdS black hole by Gibbons and Hawking [5], the Hawking temperature $\mathbf{T}$ [6] of $\mathcal{H}_C$ and $\mathcal{H}_B$ are

$$\mathbf{T}|_{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{C}} = \frac{1}{4\pi r_c b^2}(r_c - r_b)(2r_c + r_b), \tag{9a}$$

$$\mathbf{T}|_{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}} = \frac{1}{4\pi r_b b^2}(r_c - r_b)(r_c + 2r_b), \tag{9b}$$

and the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $\mathbf{S}$ [7, 8] of $\mathcal{H}_C$ and $\mathcal{H}_B$ are

$$\mathbf{S}|_{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{C}} = \pi r_c^2, \tag{10a}$$

$$\mathbf{S}|_{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}} = \pi r_b^2. \tag{10b}$$

For those two event horizons, the heat flowa are evaluated as

$$\mathbf{TS}|_{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{C}} = \frac{1}{4b^2}(r_c - r_b)(2r_c^2 + r_c r_b), \tag{11a}$$

$$\mathbf{TS}|_{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}} = \frac{1}{4b^2}(r_c - r_b)(r_c r_b + 2r_b^2). \tag{11b}$$

Hence, the heat flow passing through the boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}$ would be expressed by

$$\mathbf{TS}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{2b^2}(r_c^3 - r_b^3). \tag{12}$$

Subsequently, on $\mathcal{M}$, the quasi-localized energy complexes in the Einstein [9, 10] , and Møller [11, 12] prescription should be considered. The energy component of the Einstein energy-momentum complex [9, 10] is given by

$$E_{\mathrm{E}} = \frac{1}{16\pi}\oint H_0{}^{0i}\hat{n}_i \cdot d\vec{S}, \tag{13}$$

where

$$H_0{}^{0i} = \frac{g_{00}}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}\left[(-g)g^{00}g^{im}\right] \tag{14}$$

and $\hat{n}_i$ is the outward unit normal vector over the infinitesimal surface element $d\vec{S}$. The energy component within radius r obtained by the Einstein complex is

$$E_{\mathrm{E}} = \frac{r}{2}(1 - f). \tag{15}$$

Therefore, the quasi-localizes Einstein energy complex on $\mathcal{M}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathrm{E}}|_{r_b}^{r_c} &= \frac{1}{2}(r_c - r_b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2b^2}(r_c^3 - r_b^3) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Moreover, according to the definition of the Møller energy complex [11, 12] and Gauss's theorem, the energy component is given as

$$E_{\rm M} = \frac{1}{8\pi}\oint \chi_0{}^{0i}\hat{n}_i \cdot d\vec{S}, \tag{17}$$

where

$$\chi_0^{0i} = \sqrt{-g}\left(-\frac{\partial g_{00}}{\partial x^i}\right) g^{00}g^{ii}. \tag{18}$$

So the energy component with radius r obtained using the Møller complex is

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\rm M} &= \frac{r^2}{2}\frac{df}{dr} \\ &= -\frac{r}{2}f - \frac{r}{2b^2}\left[(r-r_c)(r+r_b+r_c)\right. \\ &\quad \left.+(r-r_b)(r+r_b+r_c)+(r-r_b)(r-r_c)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and the quasi-localizes Møller energy complex on $\mathcal{M}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\rm M}|_{r_b}^{r_c} &= -\frac{1}{2b^2}[r_c(r_c-r_b)(2r_c+r_b) - r_b(r_b-r_c)(r_c+2r_b)] \\ &= -\frac{1}{b^2}(r_c^3 - r_b^3) \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Consequently, the difference of energy between the Einstein and Møller prescription [13] is defined as

$$\Delta E = E_{\rm E} - E_{\rm M}. \tag{21}$$

According to Eq.(16) and Eq.(20), the difference of energy in the patch $\mathcal{M}$ is

$$\Delta E|_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{3}{2b^2}(r_c^3 - r_b^3), \tag{22}$$

and its value is triple of the heat flow passing through the boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}$

$$\Delta E|_{\mathcal{M}} = 3\mathbf{TS}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}}. \tag{23}$$

In this way, the quasi-localized Einstein energy complex $E_{\rm E}|_{\mathcal{M}}$ and Møller energy complex $E_{\rm M}|_{\mathcal{M}}$ will combine with the heat flow passing through the boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}$

$$E_{\rm E}|_{\mathcal{M}} = E_{\rm M}|_{\mathcal{M}} + 3\mathbf{TS}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}}, \tag{24}$$

although the factor "$+3$" of the heat flow passing through $\partial\mathcal{M}$ in Eq.(24) is different from the factor "-1" in Eq.(1). As a matter of fact, $E_{\rm M}|_{\mathcal{M}}$ must be positive if $\mathcal{M}$ is dominated by attactive gravitation. For that reason, I prefer

that $E_{\rm M}|_{\mathcal{M}}$ replace by its absolute value $|E_{\rm M}|_{\mathcal{M}}|$. Finally, the difference of energy between the Einstein and Møller prescription on the patch $\mathcal{M}$ is equal to the heat flow passing by its boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}$, as the formula previously pointed out [1]

$$E_{\rm E}|_{\mathcal{M}} = |E_{\rm M}|_{\mathcal{M}}| - \sum_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{TS}. \tag{25}$$

Because all boundaries of $\mathcal{M}$ are event horizons, the summation of the heat flows passing through those boundaries $\sum_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{TS}$ is will-defined. In conclusion, for the SdS black hole solution, the establishment of Legendre transformation in Eq. (25) exhibits that $E_{\rm E}|_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $|E_{\rm M}|_{\mathcal{M}}|$ would play the role of thermodynamic potential. It conforms with the viewpoint of Nester et al. [14] and our latest studies [1, 2, 3].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thanks Prof. Ching-Tang Tsao for useful suggestions. This work was partially supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology (Taiwan, R.O.C.) under Contract No. MOST103-2633-M143-001.

References

[1] I.-C. Yang, *Chin. J. Phys.* **50**, 544 (2012).

[2] I.-C. Yang, B.-A. Chen and C.-C. Tsai, *Mod. Phts. Lett.* **A27**, 1250169 (2012).

[3] I.-C. Yang and W.-K. Huang, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A29**, 1450126 (2014).

[4] F. Kottler, *Ann. Phys.* **261**, 401 (1918).

[5] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev.* **D15**, 2738 (1977).

[6] M. Visser, *Phys. Rev.* **D46**, 2445 (1992).

[7] S.W. Hawking, *Nature* **248**, 30 (1974).

[8] S.W. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199 (1975).

[9] A. Trautman, in *Gravitation: an Introduction to Current Research*, edited by L. Witten (Wiley, New York, 1962), pp 169-198.

[10] A. Einstein, *Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin* **47**, 778 (1915); **47**, 799 (1915).

[11] C. Møller, *Ann. Phys.* (NY) **4**, 347 (1958).

[12] C. Møller, *Ann. Phys.* (NY) **12**, 118 (1961).

[13] I-C. Yang and I. Radinschi, *Chin. J. Phys.* **42**, 40 (2004).

[14] C.-C. Chang, J.M. Nester and C.-M. Chen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 1897 (1999).